

# VOLUME II

## CONTENTS

Introduction: Outreach 1

### A. Evangelism 3

1. A Direct Mode of Outreach: Noise 4
2. The Jehovah's Witnesses Cases 8
  - a. Does the First Amendment Apply to the States? 9
  - b. "Free-Press" Cases 10
    - (1) *Lovell v. Griffin* (1938) 10
    - (2) *Schneider v. Irvington* (1939) 11
    - (3) *Martin v. Struthers* (1943) 11
  - c. *Cantwell v. Connecticut* (1940) 11
  - d. *Cox v. New Hampshire* (1941) 15
  - e. *Chaplinsky v. New Hampshire* (1942) 16
  - f. *Jones v. Opelika (I)* (1942) 16
  - g. *Jamison v. Texas* (1943) 21
  - h. *Largent v. Texas* (1943) 21
  - i. *Murdock v. Pennsylvania* (1943) 22
  - j. *Martin v. Struthers* (1943) 25
  - k. *Douglas v. City of Jeannette* (1943) 29
  - l. *Prince v. Massachusetts* (1944) 33
  - m. *Follett v. McCormick* (1944) 38
  - n. *Marsh v. Alabama* (1946) 38
  - o. *Tucker v. Texas* (1946) 39
  - p. *Saia v. New York* (1948) 39
  - q. *Niemotko v. Maryland* (1951) 41
  - r. *Fowler v. Rhode Island* (1953) 41
  - s. *Poulos v. New Hampshire* (1953) 42
3. Other Cases Involving Evangelism 45
  - a. *Kunz v. New York* (1951) 45
  - b. *Airport Commissioners v. Jews for Jesus* (1987) 49

## B. Conversion 51

1. *Application of the Conversion Center* (1957) 51
2. “Cults” and the Anti-“cult” Cult 55
3. “Deprogramming” 57
4. Legal Rationale(s) for Invalidation of Conversion 65
  - a. Richard Delgado 65
  - b. The Defense of Necessity 68
    - (1) *People v. Patrick* (1981) 68
    - (2) *Eilers v. Coy* (1984) 69
    - (3) *Colorado v. Brandyberry and Whelan* (1990) 69
5. Litigation Arising from Conversion: Against Deprogrammers 71
  - a. Hybrid Cases 71
    - (1) *People v. Murphy* (1977) 71
    - (2) *Katz v. Superior Court* (1977) 71
  - b. Criminal Prosecutions of Deprogrammers 73
    - (1) Patrick’s Manhattan Trial (1974) 73
    - (2) Federal Kidnapping Statute 75
    - (3) Some Criminal Convictions 77
  - c. Civil Actions Against Deprogrammers 78
    - (1) *Baer v. Baer* (1978) 80
    - (2) *Rankin v. Howard* (1980) 81
    - (3) *Ward v. Connor* (1981) 82
    - (4) *Helander v. Patrick* (1976) 83
    - (5) *Peterson v. Sorlien* (1980) 83
    - (6) *Eilers v. Coy* (1984) 88
    - (7) *Taylor v. Gilmartin* (1982) 91
    - (8) *Colombrito v. Kelly* (1985) 97
6. Litigation Arising from Conversion: Against Religious Groups 98
  - a. *U.S. v. Ballard* (1944) 99
  - b. *Founding Church of Scientology v. U.S.* (1969) 103
  - c. *U.S. v. Article or Device* (1971) 105
  - d. *Christofferson v. Church of Scientology* (1982) 108
  - e. *Christofferson II* (1985) 115
  - f. *Turner v. Unification Church* (1978) 116
  - g. *Van Schaick v. Church of Scientology* (1982) 116
  - h. *Meroni v. Holy Spirit Association* (1986) 118
  - i. *Molko and Leal v. Unification Church* (1983) 120

j. <i>Molko and Leal: Appellate Review</i> (1988)	127
k. <i>Molko and Leal: The Dissent</i>	134
l. <i>George v. ISKCON</i> (1989)	139
m. <i>Wollersheim v. Church of Scientology</i> (1989)	146
n. <i>The Haslip Hitch</i>	155
o. Further Developments on the Subject of “Brainwashing”	157
(1) <i>U.S. v. Kozminski</i> (1988)	157
(2) <i>Kropinski v. World Plan Executive Council—US</i> (1988)	158
(3) <i>U.S. v. Fishman</i> (1990)	159
(4) <i>Singer and Ofshe v. American Psychological Association et al.</i> (1993, 1994)	162
p. <i>Dovydenas v. The Bible Speaks</i> (1989)	163
7. Legislation Designed to Control Conversion	174
SUMMARY of § B: Conversion	177
C. Solicitation and Fund-Raising	180
1. “Stewardship” Considerations	181
2. The Urge to Regulate: “Protecting” the Consumer	184
3. Jehovah's Witnesses Cases	187
a. <i>Schneider v. Town of Irvington</i> (1939)	187
b. <i>Cantwell v. Connecticut</i> (1940)	187
4. Some Secular Solicitation Cases	188
a. <i>Staub v. City of Baxley</i> (1958)	188
b. <i>Hynes v. Mayor of Oradell</i> (1975)	190
c. <i>Village of Schaumburg v. Citizens for a Better Environment</i> (1980)	191
d. Some Reflections on Disclosure	194
5. A New Wave of Religious Cases	196
a. <i>Heffron v. ISKCON</i> (1981)	197
b. Comments on <i>Heffron</i>	199
c. <i>Larson v. Valente</i> (1982)	202
d. The Clearwater Vendetta	206
(1) The Clearwater Ordinance	207
(2) <i>Church of Scientology Flag Service v. Clearwater</i> (1993)	211
e. <i>International Society for Krishna Consciousness v. Lee and Lee v. International Society for Krishna Consciousness</i> (1992)	212

D. Serving Human Need	225
1. Is Serving Human Need “Evangelism”?	227
2. Health Care	227
a. The Church-Related Hospital	230
b. <i>Bradfield v. Roberts</i> (1899)	235
c. The Hill-Burton Cases	237
(1) <i>Taylor v. St. Vincent's Hospital</i> (1973)	239
(2) <i>Chrisman v. Sisters of St. Joseph of Peace</i> (1974)	239
d. <i>Bowen v. Kendrick</i> (1988)	241
(1) The Adolescent Family Life Act	242
(2) A Split in the Religious Community	243
(3) The Supreme Court's Decision	244
(4) Justice O'Connor's Concurrence	251
(5) Justice Kennedy's Concurrence	253
(6) The Dissent	255
3. Child Care	266
a. Resistance to State Regulation	270
b. The Roloff Litigation	270
c. <i>Texas v. Corpus Christi People's Baptist Church</i> (1984)	279
d. <i>Kansas v. Heart Ministries</i> (1980)	284
e. <i>Forest Hills Early Learning Center v. Lukhard</i> (1984)	287
f. <i>Michigan Department of Social Services v. Emmanuel Baptist Pre-School</i> (1986)	290
g. <i>Faith Mission Home</i> (1986)	294
h. <i>Forest Hills Early Learning Center v. Grace Baptist Church</i> (1988)	295
i. A Commentator's Proposal	297
4. Counselling and “Clergy Malpractice”	299
a. <i>Nally v. Grace Community Church: History</i>	300
b. <i>Nally v. Grace Community Church: Summary Judgment and Appeal</i>	302
c. <i>Nally v. Grace Community Church: Grounds of Reversal</i>	302
d. <i>Nally v. Grace Community Church: Hanson Dissent</i>	304
e. <i>Nally v. Grace Community Church: The Trial and Outcome</i>	307
f. The Second Appeal: Majority Opinion	310
g. The Dissent	317
h. Decision by the Supreme Court of California	320

- i. “Clergy Malpractice” and Confidentiality 321
    - j. *People v. Edwards* (1988) 323
  - 5. Feeding the Hungry, Housing the Homeless 324
    - a. *City of Tulsa v. Neighbor-for-Neighbor* (1969) 326
    - b. *Western Presbyterian Church v. Board of Zoning Adjustment* (1994) 328
- E. Influencing Public Policy 330
  - 1. *Holy Spirit Association v. Tax Commission* (1981) 332
  - 2. In Search of the Golden Era 334
    - a. Prohibition of Alcoholic Beverages 335
    - b. The Defeat of Tammany Hall 336
    - c. Abolition of Slavery 337
    - d. The Elimination of Duelling 339
    - e. The Sunday Mails Controversy 340
    - f. The Struggle Against Lotteries 340
    - g. Fomenting the American Revolution 342
  - 3. The Biblical and Theological Mandate 344
  - 4. A Violation of “Separation of Church and State”? 346
    - a. The *Everson* “No Aid” Dictum 346
    - b. The *Walz* Dictum 348
    - c. *Harris v. McRae* (1980) 349
    - d. *Slee v. Commissioner* (1930) 350
    - e. Amending the Internal Revenue Code 350
    - f. *Girard Trust v. Commissioner* (1941) 351
    - g. *Christian Echoes National Ministry v. U.S.* (1972) 353
    - h. *Regan v. Taxation with Representation* (1983) 354
    - i. Should Churches Set Up Lobbying Subsidiaries? 355
    - j. The “Political Divisiveness” Dictum 356
    - k. *Mc Daniel v. Paty* (1978) 358
    - l. *Abortion Rights Mobilization v. Baker* (1982) 360
    - m. *U.S. Catholic Conference v. Abortion Rights Mobilization, Inc.* (1987) 363
    - n. Review by the Supreme Court (1988) 363
    - o. *U.S. Catholic Conference v. Abortion Rights Mobilization, Inc.* (1989) 364

- 5. Religion and Candidates or Officeholders 369
  - a. Sundry Voices of 1984 370
  - b. Some Insights on Vocation, Calling and Talent 373
  - c. The Example of Abraham Lincoln 374
  - d. Further Guidelines 376
- 6. *Holy Spirit Association v. Tax Commission* (concl.) 377

ADDENDUM 380